

## Direct Sum of Two Vector Spaces or Subspaces

There are two closely related, but not identical, notions of something called a *direct sum* in linear algebra. For one of these, we start with two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , and construct a third vector space called the *direct sum* of  $V$  and  $W$ . In the other, we are given a vector space  $Z$ , and two *subspaces*  $V$  and  $W$  of  $Z$ . If these subspaces satisfy certain conditions, we say that  $Z$  is the *direct sum* of  $V$  and  $W$ . In these notes, we'll call the first notion the “external” direct sum of  $V$  and  $W$ , and denote it  $V \oplus_e W$ ; we'll call the second notion the “internal” direct sum of  $V$  and  $W$ , and denote it  $V \oplus_i W$ . But conventionally, both are denoted simply  $V \oplus W$ ; context tells you which notion is meant.

Below, we discuss both these notions, and how they are related.

### “External” direct sum

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces. On the Cartesian product  $V \times W := \{(v, w) : v \in V, w \in W\}$ , we define operations “plus” and “sm” (scalar<sup>1</sup> multiplication), and the customary notation for them, as follows:

$$\text{plus} : (V \times W) \times (V \times W) \rightarrow V \times W$$

is defined by

$$(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2) := \text{plus}((v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2)) := (v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2); \quad (1)$$

scalar<sup>2</sup> multiplication is defined by

$$c(v, w) := \text{sm}(c, (v, w)) := (cv, cw). \quad (2)$$

(Remember: “A:=B” means that we are *defining* A to be B.)

In a homework exercise<sup>3</sup> you showed that  $V \times W$ , equipped with the operations above, is a vector space; the zero element is  $(0_V, 0_W)$ . This vector space is defined to be the “external” direct sum of  $V$  and  $W$ , for which we are using the notation  $V \oplus_e W$  in these notes.

**Remark:** In equation (1) there are *three distinct operations denoted “+”*. As a general rule, it is a bad idea to use the same symbol with more than one meaning in a single equation.

---

<sup>1</sup>In these notes, “vector space” means *real* vector space; the field of scalars is  $\mathbf{R}$ . However, everything in these notes applies to vector spaces over *any* field  $\mathbf{F}$ ; just replace  $\mathbf{R}$  by  $\mathbf{F}$  wherever  $\mathbf{R}$  occurs.

<sup>3</sup>Friedberg, Insel, and Spence, 5th edition, exercise 1.2/ 21

However, if we try to use notation such as  $+_{V \oplus_e W}$ ,  $+_V$ , and  $+_W$  that distinguishes the three “+” operations from each other, the equation can be much harder to read, even if we omit the middle portion:

$$(v_1, w_1) +_{V \oplus_e W} (v_2, w_2) := (v_1 +_V v_2, w_1 +_W w_2). \quad (3)$$

Equation (2) has a similar issue—there are three distinct scalar-multiplication operations denoted simply by the juxtaposition of a scalar and a vector—but trying to solve this problem leads either to introducing some symbol for scalar multiplication (for which both “.” and “ $\times$ ” could be confusing), which we would then modify with a subscript as in (3), or to replacing (2) with the opaque-looking

$$\text{sm}_{V \oplus_e W}(c, (v, w)) := (\text{sm}_V(c, v), \text{sm}_W(c, w)).$$

In this instance, most mathematicians deem the cure to be worse than the disease, and tolerate the “abuse of notation” in equations (1) and (2) as the lesser evil.

**Exercise DS1.** Compare the vector spaces  $\mathbf{R} \oplus_e \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{R}^2$  (where the latter is equipped with its standard vector-space structure).

### “Internal” direct sum

Let  $Z$  be a vector space and let  $V$  and  $W'$  be subspaces of  $Z$ . Then the *subspace sum*  $V + W'$  is also a subspace of  $Z$ , as shown in another homework exercise (Friedberg, Insel, and Spence, 5th edition, exercise 1.3/ 23). Two conditions on  $V$  and  $W'$ , each of which can (in general) be satisfied or failed independently of the other, are (i)  $V + W' = Z$  and (ii)  $V \cap W' = 0_Z$ .

If *both* (i) and (ii) are satisfied, we say that  $W'$  is a *complement*<sup>4</sup> of  $V$  (in  $Z$ ), or that  $V$  is a complement of  $W'$ , or that  $V$  and  $W'$  are *complements* or *complementary subspaces*. In this case we also say that  $Z$  is the “internal” direct sum of  $V$  and  $W'$ , for which we are using the notation  $V \oplus_i W'$  in these notes.

The next exercise shows that every external direct sum can be expressed as an internal direct sum, and vice-versa.

**Exercise DS2.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces. Define subsets  $V', W'$  of  $V \times W$  by  $V' = V \times \{0_W\} = \{(v, 0_W) : v \in V\}$  and  $W' = \{0_V\} \times W = \{(0_V, w) : w \in W\}$ .

- (a) Show that  $V'$  and  $W'$  are subspaces of  $V \oplus_e W$ .
- (b) Show that these two subspaces of  $V \oplus_e W$  are complements of each other, and hence that  $V \oplus_e W = V' \oplus_i W'$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>This linear-algebraic meaning of *complement* is very different from the set-theoretic meaning.

Later in the semester, when we define what it means for two vector spaces to be *isomorphic*, we will revisit the exercise above (perhaps just in homework) and see the subspaces  $V'$  and  $W'$  are isomorphic to the vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. This strengthens the tie between external and internal direct sums, showing them to be “almost the same thing” viewed two different ways.

Although the two types of direct sum are *almost* the same, they are not *quite* the same, for the following reason. If  $V'$  and  $W'$  are complementary subspaces of a vector space  $Z'$ , then  $Z' = V' \oplus_i W' = W' \oplus_i V'$ . However, if  $V$  and  $W$  are two vector spaces and  $V \neq W$ , then  $V \oplus_e W$  is not literally the same vector space as  $W \oplus_e V$ ; for the first of these, the set of elements of the direct sum is  $V \times W$ , whereas for the other the set of elements is  $W \times V$ . Of course, there is a natural bijection from  $V \times W$  to  $W \times V$  that sends  $(v, w)$  to  $(w, v)$ , so any statement we can make about  $V \oplus_e W$  can be translated into an equivalent statement about  $W \oplus_e V$ . (When we revisit direct sums after we define isomorphism, we'll see that this “swapping” map is an isomorphism, and hence that  $V \oplus W$  and  $W \oplus V$  are isomorphic.)

**Proposition DS1 (“unique decomposition” property of direct sums).** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be complementary subspaces of a vector space  $Z$ . Then for every  $z \in Z$ , there exist unique vectors  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$  such that  $z = v + w$ .*

(In other words, every  $z \in Z$  can be *uniquely expressed* as an element of  $V$  plus an element of  $W$ .)

**Exercise DS3.** Prove Proposition DS1.