

Differential Geometry—MTG 6256—Fall 2012
Problem Set 4

1. Let M, N, Z be manifolds, $F : M \rightarrow N, G : N \rightarrow Z$ smooth maps.
 - (a) Show that if $h : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function, then $(G \circ F)^*(h) = F^*(G^*(h))$.
 - (b) Show that if ω is a 1-form on Z , then $(G \circ F)^*(\omega) = F^*(G^*(\omega))$.
 - (c) Show that if F and G are diffeomorphisms (so that pullback of a vector field is defined), and X is a vector field on Z , then $(G \circ F)^*(X) = F^*(G^*(X))$.

The principle exemplified above is universal: for any object that (naturally) pulls back under maps, we find that $(G \circ F)^*(\cdot) = F^*(G^*(\cdot))$. Similarly, for any object that (naturally) pushes forward under maps, we find that $(G \circ F)_*(\cdot) = G_*(F_*(\cdot))$. (Of course, in such vague generality, one cannot give a proof. But if you ever find one of these principles violated, you should suspect that you've made an error.)

2. Let X be a vector field on a manifold M , with flow Φ .
 - (a) Recall that if μ is either a function on M or a vector field on M , or a 1-form on M , the Lie derivative of μ by X at the point p is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \mu)|_p = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left((\Phi_t^* \mu)|_p \right) \right|_{t=0}. \quad (1)$$

When μ is a function, (1) defines the Lie derivative of a 0-form by X . The same equation is used to define the Lie derivative of a k -form by X for any $k > 0$.

It is natural to ask: what if we evaluate the t -derivative at general t ?

Show that if (p, t_0) is in the domain of the flow, then for all of the objects μ above we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left((\Phi_t^* \mu)|_p \right) \right|_{t=t_0} = (\Phi_{t_0}^*(\mathcal{L}_X \mu))|_p. \quad (2)$$

(To approach this efficiently, instead of giving several separate but similar proofs, realize that whichever type of object we are Lie-differentiating, $t \mapsto (\Phi_t^* \mu)|_p$ is a [parametrized] curve in a *fixed* finite-dimensional vector space V_p : either \mathbf{R} , $T_p M$, or $T_p^* M$.)

Remark/Reminder. Once we're clear on what (2) means, we allow ourselves to rewrite it more briefly as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_t^* \mu = \Phi_t^*(\mathcal{L}_X \mu). \quad (3)$$

However, only if the vector field X is complete (i.e. the domain of Φ is $M \times \mathbf{R}$, which is guaranteed if M is compact) is $(\Phi_t^* \mu)_p$ defined for all (p, t) .

- (b) Show that for all (p, t) in the domain of the flow Φ of X , we have

$$(\Phi_t^* X)_p = X_p. \quad (4)$$

(One way to get this is to use part (a). However, (4) is also equivalent to $X_{\Phi_t(p)} = \Phi_{t*}X_p$, which can be shown directly, without using part (a).) We usually write (4) more briefly as “ $\Phi_t^*X = X$ ” (or write the “lower-star” version as $\Phi_{t*}X = X$), with the same understanding as above about the domain of Φ .

(c) Show that \mathcal{L}_X is Leibnizian with respect to wedge product:

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\omega \wedge \eta) = (\mathcal{L}_X\omega) \wedge \eta + \omega \wedge \mathcal{L}_X\eta$$

for all differential forms ω, η on M . (Note that, in contrast to the formula for $d(\omega \wedge \eta)$, there is no “ $(-1)^{\deg(\omega)}$ ” in front of the second term in this formula.)

3. Let M, N be manifolds and $F : M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map. Vector fields \tilde{X} on M , X on N are said to be *F-related* if $F_*\tilde{X}_p = X_{F(p)}$ for all $p \in M$. We also sometimes say that \tilde{X} *projects* to X if this relation holds, but that terminology can be misleading if F is not surjective. Note that a necessary condition for a vector field \tilde{X} to be “projectable”—i.e. *F-related* to some vector field on N —is that for all $q \in N$ and all $p_1, p_2 \in F^{-1}(\{q\})$, we must have $F_*\tilde{X}_{p_1} = F_*\tilde{X}_{p_2}$. Most vector fields on M will not meet this consistency condition.

Suppose that M, N, F, \tilde{X} , and X are as above, with \tilde{X} *F-related* to X . Let $\tilde{\Phi}$ and Φ be the flows of \tilde{X} and X respectively, with their maximal domains.

(a) Show that if $(p, t) \in \text{domain}(\tilde{\Phi})$ then $(F(p), t) \in \text{domain}(\Phi)$ (so that if $\tilde{\Phi}_t(p)$ is defined, then so is $\Phi_t(F(p))$), and that $F \circ \tilde{\Phi}_t = \Phi_t \circ F$ on $\text{domain}(\tilde{\Phi})$.

(b) Show that if \tilde{Y} is another vector field on M , and is *F-related* to a vector field Y on N , then $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$ is *F-related* to $[X, Y]$. (Use the fact that $[X, Y] = \mathcal{L}_X Y$.)

4. **(Beginning of set-up.)** For a vector space V , we have defined the exterior powers $\Lambda^k(V^*)$ for $k \geq 0$, and have used this to define $\Omega^k(M)$, the space of k -forms on a manifold M . For certain purposes, it is convenient to extend these definitions to the case $k = -1$, setting $\Lambda^{-1}(V^*) = \{0\} \subset \mathbf{R} = \Lambda^0(V)$ and $\Omega^{-1}(M) = \{\text{the constant function } 0\} = \{\text{zero - element of } \Omega^0(M)\} \subset \Omega^0(M)$.

For a vector space V , an element $v \in V$, and $k \geq 0$, we define a linear map $\iota_v : \Lambda^k(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1}(V^*)$ as follows. For $k = 0$, ι_v is the unique map $\Lambda^0(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}(V^*)$, namely the zero map. For $k > 0$, we define ι_v by

$$(\iota_v\alpha)(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) = \alpha(v, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) \quad \forall w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} \in V.$$

The map $\iota_v : \Lambda^k(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1}(V^*)$ ($k \geq 0$) is called *contraction* or *interior product* with v . (One of the conveniences of having included the case $k = 0$ is that, by direct sum, we can regard ι_v as a single linear map $\Lambda^*(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(V^*)$.)

For a manifold M , a vector field X on M , and $k \geq 0$, we apply the definition above pointwise (with $V = T_p^*M, p \in M$), yielding a linear map $\iota_X : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$. Thus, for $k \geq 1$,

$$(\iota_X \omega)(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) = \omega(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) \quad (5)$$

for all vector fields Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1} on M ; for $f \in \Omega^0(M)$, $\iota_X f$ is the constant function 0. **(End of set-up.)**

Let M be a manifold. Below, “vector field” and “differential form” mean “vector field on M ” and “differential form on M ”, respectively.

(a) Let $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$, $k \geq 0$. Find a simple relation between $\iota_X(\iota_Y \omega)$ and $\iota_Y(\iota_X \omega)$.

(b) Let ω, η be differential forms, with $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$. Show that

$$\iota_X(\omega \wedge \eta) = (\iota_X \omega) \wedge \eta + (-1)^k \omega \wedge \iota_X \eta. \quad (6)$$

(c) Using the definition of Lie derivative, show that for $k \geq 1$, all k -forms ω , and all vector fields X, Y_1, \dots, Y_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} X(\omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)) &= (\mathcal{L}_X \omega)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) + \omega(\mathcal{L}_X Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k) \\ &\quad + \omega(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_X Y_2, \dots, Y_k) + \dots + \omega(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_X Y_k). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(d) Use the result of part (c) to show that Lie derivative by a vector field X is “Leibnizian with respect to contraction”: for $k \geq 0$, all k -forms ω , and all vector fields X, Y ,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y \omega) = \iota_{\mathcal{L}_X Y} \omega + \iota_Y \mathcal{L}_X \omega.$$

(e) Show that for any vector field X and any differential form ω ,

$$\iota_X d\omega + d(\iota_X \omega) = \mathcal{L}_X \omega. \quad (8)$$

(f) Show that d commutes with Lie derivative by any vector field: for all vector fields X and differential forms ω ,

$$d(\mathcal{L}_X \omega) = \mathcal{L}_X d\omega.$$