

Differential Geometry—MTG 6256—Fall 2017
Problem Set 1: Fun with Matrices

Below, $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ denotes the vector space of $n \times n$ real matrices, and $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ the subset of invertible matrices.

Several problems on this list were already done, or essentially done, in class; they are included as a guide and a reminder. For the same reason, some facts stated in class are stated again here. Problems 1–9 are meant to be done in the given order; in many cases the results of earlier problems are applicable to later problems. Problem 10 does not really use any of the other problems.

When asked to find the derivative of a map, express your answer by writing down a formula that gives all directional derivatives.

Required problems (to be handed in; due-date TBA): 2, 3, 4, 10ab. You should also read the footnote in problem 7. In doing any of these problems, you may assume the results of all earlier problems (optional or required).

Optional problems: All the ones that are not required.

1. Let $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be open, W a finite-dimensional vector space, $f : U \rightarrow W$ differentiable at $p \in U$. Show that $(D_p f)(1) = f'(p)$.

2. Let V, W_1, W_2 be finite-dimensional vector spaces of positive dimension, $U \subset V$ open, $p \in U$, and $g_i : U \rightarrow W_i$ differentiable at p for $i = 1, 2$. Define $f : U \rightarrow W_1 \oplus W_2$ by $f(q) = (g_1(q), g_2(q))$. Show that f is differentiable at p and compute $Df|_p(v)$ for arbitrary $v \in V$.

Note: one general approach to a problem of the form “show that a function F is differentiable at point q , and compute the derivative $DF|_q$ ” is to compute all the directional derivatives $(D_q F)(v)$. If this expression is not linear in v , then F is not differentiable at q (and you were instructed to show something that was false). If “ $(D_q F)(v)$ ” is linear in v , then the linear transformation T defined by $T(v) = (D_q F)(v)$ is the *only candidate* for $DF|_q$. You can then try to show that F is differentiable at q either by plugging this T into the definition of “differentiable at q ” and showing that the relevant limit is zero, or by showing that, for all fixed v , the map $\tilde{q} \mapsto (D_{\tilde{q}} F)(v)$ is continuous in \tilde{q} (in which case, automatically, F is not merely differentiable at q , but continuously differentiable at q). The former approach is the one to use in this problem; nothing in the hypotheses implies continuity of the directional derivatives.

3. Define $\mu : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \oplus M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R})$ by $\mu(A, B) = AB$ (matrix multiplication). Show that μ is differentiable, and compute its derivative.

4. Let $g, h : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R})$ be differentiable and define $f(A) = g(A)h(A)$. Note that $f = \mu \circ j$, where μ is the map in problem 3 and $j : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R}) \oplus M_n(\mathbf{R})$ is defined by $j(A) = (g(A), h(A))$. Prove that f is differentiable, and (using directional derivatives) express the derivative of f in terms of the derivatives of g and h .

If your answer is correct, then in the case $n = 1$, you should find with the aid of problem 1 that you've recovered the "product rule" from Calculus 1. Thus, the Calculus-1 product rule is a corollary of the (multivariable) Chain Rule.

5. Define $f : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R})$ by $f(A) = A^t A$, where A^t is the transpose of A . Show that f is differentiable and find its derivative.

6. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let $f(A) = A^m$ for $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. Show that f is differentiable and find its derivative.

7. We saw in class that $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ is an open subset of $M_n(\mathbf{R})$. Show that it is also dense. Hint for a quick proof: characteristic polynomial. (Look up the term if you've forgotten what it means, or never learned it.) Of course, there are many other proofs as well.

8. Define $\iota : \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R})$ by $\iota(A) = A^{-1}$. In this exercise, you will show that ι is differentiable by a method different from the power-series approach we used in class¹, without needing to show ahead of time that ι is continuous. (In class, I used the continuity of ι to deduce that directional derivatives were continuous, and said that continuity is not hard to show. That fact is true; we just don't need it in the approach below. Once differentiability is shown, we can deduce continuity of ι from the general fact that differentiability implies continuity.) The idea is the following:

- For a fixed A , we find a linear transformation T that is *the only possible candidate* T for the derivative of ι at A .
- We plug this T into the quotient whose limit we take in the definition of "derivative", and show directly that the limit of the quotient is zero.

The same method can be used in many other examples. Usually the candidate T is found by computing directional derivatives, but in the case of ι there is a "cheaper" approach, which is part (a) below.

(a) Using the result of problem 4, show that if ι is differentiable, then $(D_A \iota)(B) = -A^{-1} B A^{-1}$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$, $B \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$. Note that for fixed A , this is linear in B .

¹Neither this method nor the power-series method is the fastest way to show that ι is differentiable. The *fastest* way is simply to observe that there is an explicit formula for the inverse of an invertible matrix A , expressing the entries of A^{-1} as rational functions of the entries of A , where the denominator of each rational function is $\det(A)$ (see the last sentence of problem 10(c)). Thus, using composing appropriately with an isomorphism between $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ and \mathbf{R}^{n^2} , ι becomes a map from an open set in \mathbf{R}^{n^2} to \mathbf{R}^{n^2} , each of whose component-functions is a rational function with nonzero denominator. Each component-function is therefore not just differentiable, but C^∞ , and therefore ι is actually a C^∞ map. This fact is important to know, independent of this homework problem. The purpose of this homework problem is intended to teach some other ideas related to the matrix-inversion map.

(b) Show that if $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$, then A is invertible if and only if A is *bounded below*, i.e. iff there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|Av\| \geq c\|v\|$ for all $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

(c) Using part (b) and the triangle inequality, show that for all $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|B\| < \delta$ then $A + B$ is bounded below, hence is invertible. (This gives another proof that $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ is open in $M_n(\mathbf{R})$, of course.) Here and below, the norm used on $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ is the operator norm.

(d) Fix $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ and define T to be the linear transformation found above in part (a), the map $B \mapsto -A^{-1}BA^{-1}$. Using just algebraic manipulation and the submultiplicativity of the operator norm, show that $\|\iota(A + B) - \iota(A) - T(B)\| \leq \|(A + B)^{-1}\| \|A^{-1}\|^2 \|B\|^2$.

(e) Show that if A and c are as in part (b), then $\|A^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{c}$.

Note: Since $1 = \|I\| = \|AA^{-1}\| \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$, we have the simple *lower* bound $\|A^{-1}\| \geq \|A\|^{-1}$. But there is no general *upper* bound on $\|A^{-1}\|$ in terms of $\|A\|$.

(f) Use part (e) to show that your work in part (c) actually gives you, for fixed A , a uniform upper bound on $\|(A + B)^{-1}\|$ if $\|B\|$ is sufficiently small.

(g) Now show that if $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ then $\lim_{B \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\iota(A+B) - \iota(A) - T(B)\|}{\|B\|} = 0$, hence that ι is differentiable at A .

9. Extend the result of problem 6 to negative integral exponents. (For $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ and $m \geq 1$, A^{-m} is defined to be $(A^{-1})^m$.)

10. The determinant function $\det : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a polynomial in n^2 variables, so it is certainly C^1 (in fact C^∞). There are several ways to compute its derivative. The steps below constitute a method that involves little computation but a bit of thought.

(a) Let $I \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$ be the identity and let $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. Compute $D_I(\det)(B)$, and express the answer as a simple invariant of the matrix B .

(b) Let $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$, $B \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. Compute $D_A(\det)(B)$. (Hint: use (a).) Re-express your result as a formula for the derivative (or directional derivatives)² of the function $\log |\det|$.

(c) Use the density statement in problem 7 to extend the formula for $D_A(\det)$ from $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$ to $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. The answer can be rewritten in terms of the “cofactor” matrix $\text{cof}(A)$ that arises in computing the inverse of a matrix. (Recall that if A is invertible, then $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{cof}(A)$, or else the transpose of this, depending on your definition of $\text{cof}(A)$.)

²The words “the derivative (or directional derivatives) of” were omitted from the original posting of this problem.