

**Differential Geometry 2—MAT 4930 —Spring 2015**  
**Assignment 2**

1. Let  $M, N$  be manifolds and  $F : M \rightarrow N$  a smooth map. Vector fields  $\tilde{X}$  on  $M$ ,  $X$  on  $N$  are said to be  $F$ -related if  $F_*\tilde{X}_p = X_{F(p)}$  for all  $p \in M$ . We also sometimes say that  $\tilde{X}$  *projects* to  $X$  if this relation holds, but that terminology can be misleading if  $F$  is not surjective. ( $F$  could even be the inclusion map of a submanifold, and  $\tilde{X}$  an extension of  $X$  to  $N$ .) Note that a necessary condition for a vector field  $\tilde{X}$  to be “projectable by  $F$ ”—i.e.  $F$ -related to some vector field on  $N$ —is that for all  $q \in N$  and all  $p_1, p_2 \in F^{-1}(\{q\})$ , we must have  $F_{*p_1}\tilde{X}_{p_1} = F_{*p_2}\tilde{X}_{p_2}$ . Most vector fields on  $M$  will not meet this consistency condition if  $F$  is not injective.

Suppose that  $M, N, F, \tilde{X}$ , and  $X$  are as above, with  $\tilde{X}$   $F$ -related to  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{\Phi}$  and  $\Phi$  be the flows of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X$  respectively, defined on their maximal domains.

(a) Show that if  $(p, t) \in \text{domain}(\tilde{\Phi})$  then  $(F(p), t) \in \text{domain}(\Phi)$  (so that if  $\tilde{\Phi}_t(p)$  is defined, then so is  $\Phi_t(F(p))$ ), and that  $F \circ \tilde{\Phi}_t = \Phi_t \circ F$  on  $\text{domain}(\tilde{\Phi})$ .

(b) Show that if  $\tilde{Y}$  is another vector field on  $M$ , and is  $F$ -related to a vector field  $Y$  on  $N$ , then  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$  is  $F$ -related to  $[X, Y]$ . (Use the fact that  $[X, Y] = \mathcal{L}_X Y$ .)

We often write the fact proven in (b) as “ $F_*[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = [F_*\tilde{X}, F_*\tilde{Y}]$ ”, with the understanding that this applies only if  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  are projectable by  $F$ .

2. Let  $X$  be a vector field on the manifold  $M$ , with flow  $\Phi$ . Let  $\mu$  be a tensor field on  $M$ . Recall that the Lie derivative of  $\mu$  by  $X$  at the point  $p$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \mu)|_p = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\Phi_t^* \mu)|_p \right) \right|_{t=0}.$$

It is natural to ask: what if we evaluate the  $t$ -derivative at general  $t$ ?

Show that if  $(p, t_0)$  is in the domain of the flow, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\Phi_t^* \mu)|_p \right) \right|_{t=t_0} = (\Phi_{t_0}^* (\mathcal{L}_X \mu))|_p. \quad (1)$$

**Remark.** We allow ourselves to rewrite (1) more briefly as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Phi_t^* \mu = \Phi_t^* (\mathcal{L}_X \mu) \quad (2)$$

with understanding that the equation is interpreted pointwise; for each  $p \in M$  we are differentiating the curve  $t \mapsto (\Phi_t^* \mu)_p$  in a *fixed, finite-dimensional* vector space, the fiber at  $p$  of the appropriate tensor bundle. For each  $p$ , there is guaranteed to be an open interval containing 0 on which this curve is defined. If we attempt, instead, to interpret  $t \mapsto \Phi_t^* \mu$  as a curve in the infinite-dimensional vector space  $\Gamma(E)$ , the space of sections of the tensor bundle in which  $\mu$  takes its values, we run into two problems:

(i) if the (maximal) domain of  $\Phi$  is not all of  $M \times \mathbf{R}$ , then there will be *no*  $\epsilon > 0$  for which “ $\Phi_t^* \mu$ ” is a section of  $E$  (a tensor field defined on all of  $M$ ) for all  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ ; and (ii) even if  $\Phi$  is defined on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$ , we would have to choose a topology on  $\Gamma(E)$  in order for the difference-quotient limit to be defined (and furthermore, the limit might exist for some topologies and not for others, and for some  $\mu$  but not for others).

(b) Show that for all  $(p, t)$  in the domain of the flow  $\Phi$  of  $X$ , we have

$$(\Phi_t^* X)_p = X_p. \quad (3)$$

(One way to get this is to use part (a). However, (3) is also equivalent to  $X_{\Phi_t(p)} = \Phi_{t*} X_p$ , which can be shown directly, without using part (a).) We usually write (3) more briefly as “ $\Phi_t^* X = X$ ” again with the understanding that this is to be interpreted pointwise in  $M$ .

3. Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $X$  a vector field on  $M$ ,  $\Phi$  the flow of  $X$ . Prove that fixed-points of the flow—i.e. those points  $p \in M$  for which  $\Phi_t(p) = p \forall t$ —are exactly those  $p$  at which  $X_p = 0$ .

4. Notation as in problem 3. An *integral curve* of  $X$  is a “ $\Phi$ -orbit”, i.e. a set of the form  $\{\Phi_t(p)\}$  with  $p$  fixed and  $t$  varying over an open interval containing 0. Prove that multiplying  $X$  by a nonzero function only reparametrizes the integral curves; it does not change the underlying point-sets. More precisely, if  $Y = fX$  for some nonzero function  $f$ , prove that every integral curve of  $Y$  is an integral curve of  $X$  and vice-versa.

5. Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $X$  a vector field on  $M$ , and suppose that  $X_p \neq 0$  at some  $p \in M$ . Prove that there are local coordinates  $\{x^i\}$  on some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $X = \partial/\partial x^1$  on  $U$ . (Hint: use the flow of  $X$ , and turn time into a coordinate.)

Note: “there are local coordinates  $\{x^i\}$  on some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$ ” is just another way of saying “there is a chart  $(U, \phi)$  with  $p \in U$ ”; it is understood that the  $\{x^i\}$  are the standard coordinate functions on  $\phi(U) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , ( $n = \dim(M)$ ) pulled back to  $U$ .

6. This problem begins an important generalization of problem 5.

The integral curves of a nonzero vector field on a manifold  $M$  are one-dimensional submanifolds of  $M$ . Given *two* vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ , one can ask whether their integral curves “hang together” to produce two-dimensional submanifolds (at least locally), any two points of which can be connected to each other by moving along a piecewise-smooth curve each of whose smooth segments is a portion of an integral curve of  $X$  or  $Y$ . This problem gives a sufficient condition on  $X$  and  $Y$  for them to generate a two-dimensional submanifold locally in this way. The condition is also necessary in a certain sense (see part (c)). A more general sufficient condition is given in part (d). (Note: Each part of this problem after (a) depends on part (a).)

Let  $M$  be a manifold,  $X$  and  $Y$  vector fields on  $M$ , with flows  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  respectively. We say *the flows of  $X$  and  $Y$  commute* if for any  $p \in M$  and any open intervals  $I, J$  containing 0 such that if  $\Phi_t \circ \Psi_s(p)$  and  $\Psi_s \circ \Phi_t(p)$  are defined for all  $(t, s) \in I \times J$ , then  $\Phi_t \circ \Psi_s(p) = \Psi_s \circ \Phi_t(p)$  for all  $(t, s) \in I \times J$ .

(a) Prove that  $[X, Y] \equiv 0$  iff the flows of  $X$  and  $Y$  commute. (One direction of the “iff” is much easier than the other. The hard direction is what’s needed below.)

(b) Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are linearly independent at  $p$ , and that  $[X, Y] \equiv 0$ . Prove that there are local coordinates  $\{x^i\}$  on some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $X = \partial/\partial x^1$  and  $Y = \partial/\partial x^2$  on  $U$ . (Hint: use the flows.)

(c) Hypotheses as in (b). Prove that there exists a two-dimensional submanifold  $L$  of  $M$ , containing  $p$ , such that at each point  $q$  of  $L$  the tangent space  $T_q L$  is spanned by  $X_q$  and  $Y_q$ . Conversely (more or less), show that given any two-dimensional submanifold  $L$  of  $M$ , and any  $p \in L$ , there exist vector fields  $X, Y$  defined on an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $M$  that are linearly independent at every point of  $U$  and satisfy  $[X, Y] \equiv 0$  on  $U$ . (The reason for the “more or less” is that your  $X$  and  $Y$  are required to be linearly independent not just at  $p$ , but throughout  $U$ . However, you will probably find that the same proof you’d have used to show linear independence at  $p$  works at every point of your  $U$ .)

(d) Suppose that on some open set  $V$ , the vectors  $X_q$  and  $Y_q$  are linearly independent at each  $q \in V$  and that  $[X, Y]_q$  lies in the span of  $X_q$  and  $Y_q$ . Thus there are unique functions  $f, g : V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $[X, Y] = fX + gY$  for some unique functions  $f$  and  $g$ .

(i) Show that  $f$  and  $g$  are smooth.

(ii) (You may assume part (i) to do this part.) Prove for each  $p \in V$  there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  and locally-defined vector fields  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  with the same span as  $X$  and  $Y$  at each point of  $U$ , satisfying  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] \equiv 0$ . (Hence, from part (c) these conditions on  $X$  and  $Y$  can replace the less general conditions in part (b).)