

**Differential Geometry 2—MAT 4930 —Spring 2015**  
**Assignment 3**

1. Recall (especially if you've never learned it) the *polar decomposition* of an invertible matrix: any invertible matrix  $A$  can be written uniquely as a product  $RS$ , where  $R$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $S$  is a symmetric positive-definite matrix. (“Recall” also that a symmetric  $n \times n$  real matrix  $S$  is called *positive-definite* if  $v \cdot Sv > 0$  for all nonzero  $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and that this condition is equivalent to positivity of all the eigenvalues of  $S$ .) It can be shown that  $R$  and  $S$  depend smoothly on  $A$ . It can also be shown that a  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$  is positive-definite if and only if  $a > 0$  and  $\det(A) > 0$ . Below, you may assume all of the facts just stated.

(a) Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{2 \times 2 \text{ symmetric real matrices}\}$ , a 3-dimensional vector space. Let  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \det(S) = 1\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{S}_1$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{S}$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

(b) Use the polar decomposition and part (a) to show that  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is diffeomorphic to  $SO(2) \times \mathbf{R}^2$ , hence to  $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}^2$ .<sup>1</sup> This shows, in particular, that  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is connected.

(c) Recall from class that the Lie algebra of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\}$ .

In class we saw that if  $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $X^2 = \Delta I$ , where  $\Delta = a^2 + bc$ . Show that

$$\exp(X) = \begin{cases} (\cosh \sqrt{\Delta})I + \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}X & \text{if } \Delta > 0, \\ I + X & \text{if } \Delta = 0, \\ (\cos \sqrt{|\Delta|})I + \frac{\sin \sqrt{|\Delta|}}{\sqrt{|\Delta|}}X & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

(d) Deduce from part (c) that for all  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\text{tr}(\exp(X)) \geq -2$ .

(e) Find  $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  with  $\text{tr}(A) < -2$ , and hence show that  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is a connected Lie group for which the exponential map is not surjective.

2. Another Lie group for which it is easy to compute the exponential map explicitly is  $SO(3)$ .

(a) As with other matrix groups, we identify  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ , the Lie algebra of  $SO(n)$ , with  $T_I(SO(n)) \subset T_I M_n(\mathbf{R}) \cong_{\text{canon.}} M_n(\mathbf{R})$ , and thereby identify  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  with a subspace of

---

<sup>1</sup>Note: “Diffeomorphic” is all you’re asked to show, not “isomorphic as Lie groups”. The Lie groups  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  and  $SO(2) \times \mathbf{R}^2$  are *not isomorphic*. If they were, they’d have isomorphic Lie algebras. But the Lie algebra of  $SO(2) \times \mathbf{R}^2$  is abelian, while the Lie algebra of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is not.

$M_n(\mathbf{R})$ . Show that  $T_I(SO(n)) = \ker(DF|_I)$ , where  $F : M_n(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \{\text{symmetric } n \times n \text{ real matrices}\}$  is defined by  $F(A) = A^t A$  (see last semester's Assignment 4 non-book problem 1). Then use this fact to show that  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  is the space of  $n \times n$  antisymmetric matrices.

(b) Using the same general procedure as in problem 1(c), compute  $\exp : \mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$ . (Note: for  $X \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$  you won't find a simple formula relating  $X^2$  to  $I$ , but you'll find one relating  $X^3$  to  $X$ .) From your explicit formula for this exponential map<sup>2</sup>, deduce that all one-parameter subgroups  $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow SO(3)$  are periodic.

3. Let  $G$  be a Lie group. A (real-valued) differential form  $\omega$  on a Lie group  $G$  is called *left-invariant* if  $L_g^* \omega = \omega$  for all  $g \in G$ . Just as for a vector field, left-invariance of a “set-theoretic” differential form on  $G$  automatically implies smoothness.

(a) Let  $k \geq 0$  and let  $\xi \in \bigwedge^k T_e^* G$ . Show that there exists a unique  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(G)$  such that  $\omega_e = \xi$ .

(b) Characterize, in simpler terms, what a left-invariant 0-form on  $G$  is.

(c) Let  $k \geq 1$ , let  $\omega$  be a left-invariant  $k$ -form on  $G$ , and let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be left-invariant vector fields on  $G$ . Show that the function  $\omega(X_1, \dots, X_k) : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is constant.

(d) Show that if a differential form  $\omega$  on  $G$  is left-invariant, then so is  $d\omega$ .

(e) Let  $\theta$  be a left-invariant 1-form on  $G$  and let  $X, Y$  be left-invariant vector fields. Show that  $d\theta(X, Y) = -\langle \theta, [X, Y] \rangle$ .

(f) Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space, and for  $k \geq 0$  let  $\Omega^k(G; V)$  denote the space of  $V$ -valued differential forms on  $G$ . (Brief review: we define  $\Omega^0(G; V)$  to be the space of smooth functions  $G \rightarrow V$ ; for  $k \geq 1$  an element of  $\Omega^k(G; V)$  is a section of the vector bundle whose fiber at  $g \in G$  is the space of  $k$ -linear antisymmetric maps  $T_g G \times T_g G \times \dots \times T_g G \rightarrow V$ , a space that we can canonically identify with  $(\bigwedge^k T_g^* G) \otimes V$ . For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^k(G; V)$ , and vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  on  $G$ ,  $\omega(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  is a smooth function  $G \rightarrow V$ .) The definition of “left-invariant” is the same for  $V$ -valued differential forms  $\omega$  as for real-valued differential forms:  $L_g^* \omega = \omega$  for all  $g \in G$ . Show that parts (a)–(e) of this problem generalize to  $V$ -valued differential forms.

4. (**Lie-algebra-valued differential forms.**) (Note: Do problem 3(f) first.) Let  $G$  be a Lie group and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its Lie algebra. For purposes of this problem, it is more convenient to identify the set  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $T_e G$  than with the set of left-invariant vector

---

<sup>2</sup>This formula, which anyone who has ever bothered to compute the exponential map  $\mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$  has figured out for him/herself in a matter of minutes, is glorified in the applied-math literature with a name, “Rodrigues’ formula”. In fairness, though, whether or not Rodrigues, whoever he or she was, deserves to have his or her name attached to this formula, there’s an advantage to having the name: it’s quicker to say “By Rodrigues’ formula, ...” than to say “By the standard formula for the exponential map from  $\mathfrak{so}(3) \rightarrow SO(3)$ , ...”

fields. Below, “ $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued differential form” means “ $T_e G$ -valued differential form.”

There is a combination “wedge-bracket” operation  $\Omega^k(G; \mathfrak{g}) \times \Omega^l(G; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+l}(G; \mathfrak{g})$  that can be defined as follows: for  $\omega \in \Omega^k(G; \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\eta \in \Omega^l(G; \mathfrak{g})$ , and vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_{k+l}$  on  $G$

$$[\omega, \eta](X_1, \dots, X_{k+l}) = \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{k+l}} \text{sgn}(\pi) [\omega(X_{\pi(1)}, \dots, X_{\pi(k)}), \eta(X_{\pi(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\pi(k+l)})].$$

In particular, for  $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\mu \in \Omega^2(G; \mathfrak{g})$ , and vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  on  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega, \eta](X, Y) &= [\omega(X), \eta(Y)] - [\omega(Y), \eta(X)] \\ \text{and} \quad [\omega, \mu](X, Y, Z) &= [\omega(X), \mu(Y, Z)] + [\omega(Y), \mu(Z, X)] + [\omega(Z), \mu(X, Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$ , the 2-form  $[\omega, \omega]$  is nonzero in general:

$$[\omega, \omega](X, Y) = 2[\omega(X), \omega(Y)].$$

The group  $G$  has a canonical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form  $\omega$  called the *Maurer-Cartan form*, defined by

$$\omega_g = L_{g^{-1}*}g \quad . \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) may look initially as if somebody forgot to put something to the right of  $L_{g^{-1}*}g$ , but there’s no typo here:  $L_{g^{-1}*}g$  is a linear map  $T_g G \rightarrow T_e G = \mathfrak{g}$ . Such a linear map is exactly what the value at  $g$  of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form is supposed to be.

Below,  $\omega$  denotes the Maurer-Cartan form on  $G$ .

(a) Show that  $\omega$  is left-invariant, and can be characterized as the unique left-invariant  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form on  $G$  whose value at  $e$  is the identity map  $T_e G \rightarrow T_e G$ . (This is the sense in which the Maurer-Cartan form is canonical.)

(b) Show that  $\omega$  satisfies the *structural equation*

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] = 0.$$

(c) Show that for any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form  $\eta$ , we have

$$[\eta, [\eta, \eta]] = 0, \quad (3)$$

and that for  $\eta = \omega$ , equation (3) is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .