

Differential Geometry IV—MAT 6932/4930 —Spring 2016
Assignment 2

1. Let E_1, E_2 be vector bundles over a manifold M , with connections $\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}$ respectively. A section of $E_1 \oplus E_2$ can be written as an ordered pair (s_1, s_2) , where $s_i \in \Gamma(E_i)$. For later parts of this problem, it is best to write this ordered pair as a 2-component column vector. Define $\nabla = \nabla^{E_1 \oplus E_2} : \Gamma(E_1 \oplus E_2) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_1 \oplus E_2) \otimes T^*M$ by

$$\nabla_X \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_X^{E_1} s_1 \\ \nabla_X^{E_2} s_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

(a) Show that ∇ is a connection on $E_1 \oplus E_2$. We call ∇ the (*induced*) *direct-sum connection*.

(b) Let $\Theta^{E_1}, \Theta^{E_2}$ be the connection forms of $\nabla^{E_1}, \nabla^{E_2}$ with respect to some local trivializations of E_1, E_2 over a common open set U . Introducing an appropriately induced local trivialization of $E_1 \oplus E_2$ over U , express the corresponding connection form $\Theta = \Theta^{E_1 \oplus E_2}$ of ∇ in terms of Θ^{E_1} and Θ^{E_2} .

(c) For $i = 1, 2$ let $F^{E_i} \in \Omega^2(\text{End}(E_i))$ denote the curvature of ∇^{E_i} . Let $F_\nabla \in \Omega^2(\text{End}(E_1 \oplus E_2))$ denote the curvature of ∇ . Parts (i), (ii) below can be done in either order, either independently or with one part helping to do the other.

- (i) Notation and data as in part (b). Let \hat{F}_∇ and \hat{F}^{E_i} ($i = 1, 2$) denote the matrix-valued two-forms representing F_∇ and F^{E_i} with respect to the given local trivialization. Express \hat{F}_∇ in terms of \hat{F}^{E_1} and \hat{F}^{E_2} .
- (ii) Express F_∇ in terms of F^{E_1} and F^{E_2} via a formula that does not involve connection-forms.

2. Let ∇ be a connection on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. Let U, V be intersecting open sets in M over which E is trivial, let $(s_U), (s_V)$ be bases of sections of $E|_U, E|_V$ (with $(s_U) = \{s_{U,\mu}\}_{\mu=1}^k$ etc.), and let $g_{UV} \in C^\infty(U \cap V, GL(k, \mathbf{R}))$ be the corresponding transition function (with $(s_V) = (s_U)g_{UV}$). Let \hat{F}_U, \hat{F}_V be the corresponding matrix-valued 2-forms representing the curvature F_∇ over U, V . Using each of the approaches indicated below (independently), show that on $U \cap V$, we have

$$\hat{F}_V = g_{UV}^{-1} \hat{F}_U g_{UV} . \tag{1}$$

Approach (i): F_∇ is a two-form on M with values in $\text{End}(E)$.

Approach (ii): In terms of connection forms Θ_U, Θ_V , we have

$$\hat{F}_U = d\Theta_U + \Theta_U \wedge \Theta_U \tag{2}$$

(etc. for V), and the connection forms are related on $U \cap V$ by

$$\Theta_V = g_{UV}^{-1} \Theta_U g_{UV} + g_{UV}^{-1} dg_{UV} . \tag{3}$$

(Equations (2) and (3) were derived in class. For the case $E = TM$, you derived (1) in Assignment 2, Problem 2, last semester, but I didn't ask you to hand it in, so I'm repeating the [generalized] problem here.) Thus, even though derivatives of the transition function appear at the level of connection-forms in (3), they disappear at the level of curvature-forms in (2)—as they must, in view of Approach (i).

3. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle, and let $\mathcal{A}(E)$ denote the set of all connections on E . As shown in class, $\mathcal{A}(E)$ naturally has the structure of an affine space whose group of translations is the vector space $\Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$: given any connection ∇ on E ,

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \{\nabla + \eta \mid \eta \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))\}. \quad (4)$$

As a reminder: in (4), the operator $\nabla + \eta : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E \otimes T^*M)$ is defined by

$$(\nabla + \eta)_X(s) = \nabla_X s + \langle \eta, X \rangle(s) \quad \forall s \in \Gamma(E), X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Show that for any $\nabla \in \mathcal{A}, \eta \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$, the curvatures of ∇ and $\nabla + \eta$ are related by

$$F_{\nabla+\eta} = F_{\nabla} + d_{\nabla}\eta + \eta \wedge \eta. \quad (5)$$

(Note that each of the three terms on the right-hand side of (5) is an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued two-form. The term $d_{\nabla}\eta$ is the covariant exterior derivative of the $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form η , where the connection on $\text{End}(E)$ is the one induced by the connection on E . The term $\eta \wedge \eta$ can also be written as $\frac{1}{2}[\eta, \eta]$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the “wedge-bracket” operation on $\text{End}(E)$ -valued differential forms.)

4. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle $F \subset E$ a sub-bundle. Note that, in general, a connection ∇ on E does not restrict to a connection on F : given $s \in \Gamma(F), X \in \Gamma(TM)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_X s$ is automatically a section of E , but its value at a given $p \in M$ need not lie in F_p .

(a) Using “ ∇ ”, as usual, also to denote the connection induced by ∇ on any tensor-power of E , show that this induced connection ∇ *does* preserve the sub-bundles $\text{Sym}^m(E)$ and $\wedge^m(E)$, $m \geq 2$.

(b) Let E be given a Riemannian metric h (in the vector-bundle sense: for $p \in M$, h_p is an inner product on E_p). Let $\pi_F : E \rightarrow F$ be the bundle-homomorphism whose restriction to each fiber E_p is the orthogonal-projection map $E_p \rightarrow F_p$. For $s \in \Gamma(E), X \in \Gamma(TM)$, define

$$\nabla_X^F s = \pi_F(\nabla_X s).$$

Show that ∇^F is a connection on F .

Remark: Part (b) is phrased in terms of *orthogonal* projection only for the sake of familiarity. The only role of the metric h is to define a projection operator $E_p \rightarrow F_p$ for each p . A more general version of this problem is: suppose that E_1, E_2 are complementary sub-bundles of E (so that $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$). Let $\pi_{E_1} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_1)$ be the bundle-homomorphism whose restriction to each fiber E_p is the projection map $E_p \rightarrow E_1|_p$ determined by the

splitting $E_p = E_1|_p \oplus E_2|_p$. For $s \in \Gamma(E_1)$, $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, define $\nabla_X^{E_1} s = \pi_{E_1}(\nabla_X s)$. Then ∇^{E_1} is a connection on E_1 . Note that if E is Riemannian, and F is a sub-bundle of E , we have $E = F \oplus F^\perp$, so the connection defined in part (b) above is a special case of this more general construction.

5. In this problem, we use the notation $\mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbf{R})$ for the space $M_{k \times k}(\mathbf{R})$ of real $k \times k$ matrices, and the notation $\mathfrak{so}(k) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbf{R})$ for the subspace consisting of all antisymmetric matrices. The notation comes from the fact that $\mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbf{R})$ and $\mathfrak{so}(k)$ are the Lie algebras of the Lie groups $GL(k, \mathbf{R})$ and its subgroup $SO(k)$, respectively.

For Riemannian vector bundle of rank k over a manifold M , and let ∇ be a connection on E . Just as in the case $E = TM$, we say that ∇ *respects* (or *preserves*) h if for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, we have

$$X(h(s_1, s_2)) = h(\nabla_X s_1, s_2) + h(s_1, \nabla_X s_2).$$

Assume that ∇ respects h . Let $U \subset M$ be an open set over which E is trivial.

(a) Show that there exist a basis of sections $\{e_\mu\}_{\mu=1}^k$ of $E|_U$ that is orthonormal at each point (i.e. $\{e_\mu(p)\}_{\mu=1}^k$ is an orthonormal basis of E_p for all $p \in U$). With the same abuse of terminology as in “basis of sections”, call such a set $\{e_\mu\}$ an *orthonormal basis of sections* of $E|_U$.

(b) Choose an orthonormal basis of sections of $E|_U$ and let $\Theta \in \Omega^1(U, \mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbf{R}))$ be the corresponding connection form. Let $\hat{F}_U \in \Omega^2(U, \mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbf{R}))$ be the corresponding matrix-valued 2-form representing $F \in \Omega^2(\text{End}(E))$. Show that, in fact Θ and \hat{F}_U take their values in the subspace $\mathfrak{so}(k)$; i.e., $\Theta \in \Omega^1(U, \mathfrak{so}(k))$ and $\hat{F}_U \in \Omega^2(U, \mathfrak{so}(k))$.

6. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle with connection $\nabla = \nabla^E$ and let ∇^M be a connection on M . Write ∇ for the induced connection on $E \otimes T^*M$. For $s \in \Gamma(E)$, the *covariant Hessian* of s is the object Hs defined by

$$Hs = \nabla \nabla s \in \Gamma(E \otimes T^*M \otimes T^*M). \quad (6)$$

(Note that the inner “ ∇ ” in (6) is simply the connection ∇^E , but the outer “ ∇ ” is the tensor-product connection on $E \otimes T^*M$, depending both the connection ∇^E and the connection ∇^M .)

(a) Let $s \in \Gamma(E)$, $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then $Hs(X, Y) := (Hs)(X, Y)$ is a section of E . Show that

$$Hs(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y s - \nabla_{\nabla_X^M Y} s. \quad (7)$$

(b) Assume that the connection ∇^M is torsion-free. Show that the curvature F_∇ of ∇ on E satisfies

$$F_\nabla(X, Y)s = Hs(X, Y) - Hs(Y, X).$$

(Thus, in general, $Hs(X, Y)$ is not symmetric in X and Y .)